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CONCLUSIONS AND CHALLENGES
- UX evaluation requires an understanding of what UX actually is; currently this is far from being settled.
- UX perspective adds something to the traditional usability perspective; however it is still hard to even put a name to this added value: is it "emotional", "experiential" or "hedonic"?
- When we decide what are the added UX aspects we are looking for, there seem to be a wealth of methods already in use within HCI or from other disciplines, which could be adapted to this particular aspect of evaluation.
- We have to understand UX and make it manageable and measurable. Given the fruitful discussions in the workshop, a practice-driven development of the UX concept may be a valid road to a better understanding of UX.
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Experiential evaluation methods for different PD phases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>User needs</th>
<th>Ideas</th>
<th>Concepts</th>
<th>Prototypes</th>
<th>Products in use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Repertory Grid Technique/Multiple sorting procedure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Psychometric questionnaires</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Attitude evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Emotion sampling, Experience sampling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>“Bollywood”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>UX expert reviews</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Art criticism</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Requirements to UX Evaluation Methods
UX evaluation methods for product development need to be:
- Fast, lightweight and cost-efficient
- Valid, reliable, repeatable
- Applicable for various types of products and prototypes
- Producing measurable output (quantitative and qualitative)
- Engaging to different stakeholders

MOTIVATION
The main objectives of the workshop were to:
- Find the appropriate UX evaluation methods for practical product development
- Create discussion between academics and practitioners on applicability of UX evaluation methods
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